

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,318	02/13/2001	Erning Xia	P01849	9816
7:	590 08/18/2003			
Robert B. Furr, Jr. Law Department Bausch & Lomb Incorporated			EXAMINER	
			ELHILO, EISA B	
One Bausch & Lomb Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY	14004		1751	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			12				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/782,318	SOLTYS-ROBITA	SOLTYS-ROBITALLE ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eisa B Elhilo	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of tr fill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>une 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			ie merits is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-19</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
,	allillel.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	and order under 25 H C C	2 £ 110(a) (d) ar (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	phonty under 35 O.S.C	. 8 119(a)-(u) or (i).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	s have been received						
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ul>		Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	).	·				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/782,318

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- This action is responsive to the amendment filed on 6/16/2003.
- The cancellation of claim 6 is acknowledged. Pending claims are 1-5 and 7-19.
- The amended claims 1-5 and 7-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riedhammer et al. (US 4,820,352), for the reasons set forth in the office action in paper No. 13, dated on 2/13/2003.

## Response to Applicant's Arguments

4 Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection based upon Riedhammer (US' 352), Applicant argues that the unique no-rub solutions of the presents invention with osmolyte adjusting agents in concentrations sufficient to enhance the cleaning properties of the solutions without adversely affecting antimicrobial efficacy as disclosed and claimed in the subject application differs significantly from the teachings of Riedhammer.

The Examiner respectfully, disagrees with the above arguments because Riedhammer teaches and discloses a cleaning composition for contact lenses. The composition comprises an effective amounts of a antibacterial agent to provide antimicrobial effect (see col. 4, lines 45-48) and osmolyte compound of sodium chloride in the amount of 6.75 gm/L (see col. 6, Examples I-III), which within the concentration ranges as shown in the specification (see specification page 6, the table). Therefore, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would expect such composition to have properties of increasing osmolality of the total solution to a level higher than that of the eye's lacrimal fluids as those claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,318

Art Unit: 1751

The examiner advised the applicant to provide a data or showing to indicate that the claimed composition demonstrates superior and unexpected results over the composition of the closest prior art of record.

## Conclusion

5 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0217. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30-5:00) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1751

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Elhilo

July 29, 2003

YOGENDRA N. GUPTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700